CS161 Fall 2025

Introduction to Computer Security

Exam Prep 12

| O1 Intrusion Detection Scenarios (SU21 Final Oc | O1 | Intrusion Detection Scenarios | (SU21 | Final | 08 |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|----|
|--|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|----|

(12 points)

For each scenario below, select the best detector or detection method for the attack.

- Q1.1 (3 points) The attacker constructs a path traversal attack with URL escaping: %2e%2e%2f%2e%2e%2f.

 O NIDS, because of interpretation issues
 O NIDS, because of cost
 - HIDS, because of interpretation issues
 - O HIDS, because of cost

Solution: This path traversal attack is masked using percent encoding in URLs. A traditional NIDS might not recognize this since it is specific to HTTP servers, so a HIDS would be the best option here in order of avoid the interpretation issues of percent encoding.

- Q1.2 (3 points) The attacker is attacking a large network with hundreds of computers, and a detector must be installed as quickly as possible.
 - O NIDS, because of interpretation issues
 - NIDS, because of cost
 - O HIDS, because of interpretation issues
 - O HIDS, because of cost

Solution: A major advantage of NIDS is that they can be quickly installed in order to cover an entire network. Because of the time constraints, the NIDS would be the best in order to mitigate the time cost.

| (Question 1 continued) |
|--|
| Q1.3 (3 points) The attacker constructs an attack that is encrypted with HTTPS. |
| ○ NIDS, because of interpretation issues |
| ○ NIDS, because of cost |
| HIDS, because of interpretation issues |
| O HIDS, because of cost |
| Solution: A NIDS is not able to decrypt data since it doesn't have the keys that are stored on the host. Thus, only the host can decrypt an interpret the requests, and a HIDS would be the best IDS to use here. |
| Q1.4 (3 points) The attacker constructs a buffer overflow attack using shellcode they found online in a database of common attacks. |
| ● Signature-based |
| ○ Specification-based |
| O Anomaly-based |
| ○ Behavioral |
| Solution: This shellcode is easily obtainable and has not been modified, so a signature that matches the exact shellcode would be most effective in detecting this attack |

Q2 Top-Secret Security (14 points)

| | rusion detection system (NIDS), as well as a firewall. Outside PS. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Q2.1 (3 points) Which of these attacks are a path. Select all that apply. | always preventable in this setup? Assume the attacker is on- | | | | | | | |
| RST Injection Attack | Reflected XSS Attack | | | | | | | |
| SQL Injection Attack | None of the above | | | | | | | |
| potential vulnerability SQL Injection Attack - these security and firewalls don't present the security and firewall don't present the security and firewall | S doesn't prevent RST Injection attacks, so they're still a attacks are generally application-layer (so transport-layer otect against them) easoning as above. Additionally, even if NIDS were capable it wouldn't be able to see any payloads under HTTPS. always preventable in this setup? Assume the attacker is one | | | | | | | |
| DNS Spoofing Attack | ☐ None of the above | | | | | | | |
| Solution: SYN Flooding Attack - these attacks are preventable using SYN Cookies! DNS Spoofing Attack - none of the defenses prevent DNS Spoofing DDoS Attack - not much a NIDS can do here, unfortunately | | | | | | | | |
| | is code on a server inside the headquarters that overwrites all etection system is best suited to defend against this attacker? | | | | | | | |

HIDS

happening!

O NIDS

Solution: Only a host-based system would be able to detect and/or prevent this attack from

O Firewall

Q2.4 (5 points) Ben, a computer scientist at the top-secret site, has a HIDS installed on his work laptop. He decides to sign into his personal email account, claiming that HTTPS will stop his employer (EvanBot) from seeing his emails. Is he correct? Justify your answer in 1–2 sentences.

| O Yes | | No |
|-------|---|----|
| | _ | |

Solution: Host-based intrusion detection systems are capable of reading data inbound/outbound HTTPS connections, so Ben's use of HTTPS doesn't really help him here.

We also accepted yes as an answer if it was justified by claiming he could use an email client that the HIDS didn't have access to.